

Some people argue that governments should spend money only on medical care and education but not on theaters or sports stadiums. Do you agree or disagree?

We are living in a world where the provision of state education, ~~what~~ which is necessary for the mental and physical health of each and every individual member of society is so important that governments are expected to spend a good deal of their funding on such areas. When it comes to specifying the public budget on these areas, I subscribe to the view that owing to the importance of all the mentioned subject, we would rather cover all of ~~which~~ it evenly.

In almost all parts of the world, people are paying tax to governments thereby expecting the officials to provide them with high standards of living. Free education, health insurance and sports facilities are considered ~~of~~ basic infrastructure in a modern community without which perhaps people face crucial plights. In this day and age, an overwhelming majority of the populace are used to a sedentary lifestyle, hence the importance of sports and health facilities are ~~at~~ in the same position.

With the hectic pace of life, no longer do civilized citizens living in luxurious metropolitan areas have time to even think about the disasters they have ~~made~~ created during the last century. Many a person is not aware of the irrecoverable impacts of our recent development at the price of global warming or losing tropical rainforests. Only when an attractive theatres s or movies ~~is~~ are produced, can critics ~~can~~ draw people's attention to such life-threatening subjects, therefore, I maintain that focusing on artistic subjects like theatre is as important as is widespread education.

To cut a long story short, I believe focusing on medical health and education and leaving other areas like fine arts and sports can lead a leading society into serious problems, so it is of utmost importance to strike a balance between apparent and non-obvious needs of a community.